

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/682,488	10/10/2003	Yves Daunas	025000-074	1452	
21839	7590 08/24/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
BURNS DOANE SWECKER & MATHIS L L P POST OFFICE BOX 1404			NGUYEN, I	NGUYEN, HOANG M	
	A, VA 22313-1404		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		3748		

DATE MAILED: 08/24/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Auglicant(a)	1 11-11-1			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/682,488	DAUNAS, YVES	V			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Hoang M Nguyen	3748				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicat or Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence ad	dress			
A SH THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) data period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, eply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reation. ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ² by statute, cause the application to become ABA	eply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely THS from the mailing date of this co ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n .					
·		☐ This action is non-final.		2			
′=	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the appl 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	vithdrawn from consideration.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection	n to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
44)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	•	•				
	The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action of form F1	0-132.			
_	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International see the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in Ap ne priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National	Stage			
Attachmen	t(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)				
3) 🛛 Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-t nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTC r No(s)/Mail Date <u>12-08-03</u> .)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTC)-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/682,488

Art Unit: 3748

Claims 21-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It's unclear if "a piston" on line 9 of claim 21 is the same as "a piston" on line 2.

Please use first and second pistons to refer to the claim elements as cited in claims 1
20 to make it easy to understand

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 17-22, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 5950900 (Frommelt et al).

Frommelt et al discloses a gas powered ram comprising an outer piston 4, an inner piston 6, a locking means 9, a support member 2, and an explosive charge located within a cartridge 3 in the ram.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/682,488

Art Unit: 3748

Claims 23-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. 5950900 (Frommelt et al). Frommelt et al discloses all the claimed subject matter as set forth above in the rejection of claim 1, but does not disclose the locking means having the protrusions with angles as claimed. However, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to select different types of locking means in Frommelt et al for the purpose of achieving a more stable locked position.

Claims 1-27 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-19 of U.S. Patent No. 6655143 in view of US 5950900 (Frommelt et al).

US 6655143 recites all the claimed subject matter except for the concept of having an inner piston inside the outer piston with explosive charge. Frommelt et al discloses a gas powered ram comprising an outer piston 4, an inner piston 6, a locking means 9, a support member 2, and an explosive charge located within a cartridge 3 in the ram. It would have been obvious to modify the ram in US 6655143 to have an inner piston as taught by Frommelt et al for the purpose of having a multiple strokes.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

Application/Control Number: 10/682,488

Art Unit: 3748

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Jochum et al, Kersten, disclose multiple stroke rams having explosive charge inside.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Examiner Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-3477. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday--Thursday from 7:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Denion, can be reached on (703)-308-2623. The fax phone number for the Examiner is (703) 872-9302 for regular communication, and (703) 872-9303 for after final communication.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0861.

HOANG NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 3748

Hoang Minh Nguyen 8/20/04